

MICHAEL A. LADRA, State Bar No. 64037
Email: mladra@wsgr.com
JAMES C. YOON, State Bar No. 177155
Email: jyoon@wsgr.com
STEFANI E. SHANBERG, State Bar No. 206717
Email: sshanberg@wsgr.com
ARIANA M. CHUNG-HAN (State Bar No. 197572)
Email: achung@wsgr.com
ROBIN L. BREWER, State Bar No. 253686
Email: rbrewer@wsgr.com
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 565-5100

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
FORTINET, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FORTINET, INC., a Delaware corporation,)	CASE NO.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING
)	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
v.)	FILE DOCUMENTS AND PORTIONS
)	OF DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL
PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC., and)	PERTAINING TO FORTINET, INC.'S
PATRICK R. BROGAN,)	OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO
)	STRIKE NEW EVIDENCE AND NEW
Defendants.)	ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN PALO
)	ALTO NETWORKS, INC.'S REPLY
)	MEMORANDA IN SUPPORT OF
)	MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY
)	JUDGMENT
)	
)	
AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS)	
)	

1 Having considered Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Fortinet, Inc.'s administrative
 2 motion to file under seal portions of Fortinet's Objections and Motion to Strike New Evidence
 3 and New Arguments Presented in Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s ("PAN") Reply Memoranda in
 4 Support of Motions for Summary Judgment ("Objections and Motion to Strike"), and Exhibits 1,
 5 2, and 4 thereto, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(c) and good cause appearing:

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Fortinet's administrative motion is GRANTED.

7 Accordingly, the following portions of Fortinet's Objections and Motion to Strike shall
 8 be filed under seal:

- 9 a) 2:18-22 (following "because . . ." through end of sentence);
- 10 b) 2:23-24 (following "maintained that the . . ." through before "... and, as a
 11 result");
- 12 c) 2:27-3:1 (following "testimony that . . ." through before "... did PAN then
 13 backpedal");
- 14 d) 3:3-4 (following "position that the . . ." through end of sentence);
- 15 e) 3:5-7 (following "(emphasis added) . . ." through end of sentence);
- 16 f) 3:7-8 (following "noninfringement argument . . ." through before "... was
 17 baseless");
- 18 g) 3:11 (the six words following "must mean . . .");
- 19 h) 3:12 (beginning of the line through end of sentence);
- 20 i) 5:3 (beginning of the line through end of sentence);
- 21 j) 5:3-6 (following "See Doc # 117 at 7 . . ." through end of sentence);
- 22 k) 5:8-9 (following "PAN admitted that . . ." through end of sentence);
- 23 l) 5:13-14 (following "because . . ." through end of sentence);
- 24 m) 5:17 (the three words following "did it argue that. . .").

25 //

26 //


27 //

28 //

1 Further, Exhibits 1, 2 and 4 attached thereto shall be filed under seal.
2

3 IT IS SO ORDERED

4
5 Dated: July 19, 2010


The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge

7
8 Presented by:

9 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

10 /s/ Stefani E. Shanberg
11 Stefani E. Shanberg

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
13 FORTINET, INC.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28